

COMPUTER SCIENCE

Paper 0984/12
Paper 1

Key messages

Candidates continue to demonstrate a good level of knowledge about the fundamental aspects of computer science. It would be beneficial for candidates to consider the context that is given in some questions. Candidates should look to reflect the application of this context in the knowledge and understanding they are required to demonstrate. This would allow candidates to demonstrate a greater level of understanding, beyond a general response, about the topic in question.

General comments

Candidates are reminded to make sure that they do not write outside the given writing space in a question. If additional writing space is required, candidates should use the additional pages available. They should make sure they clearly indicate the question for which they are providing a further response.

Comments on specific questions

Question 1

- (a) Most candidates were able to provide a correct response about the meaning of HTML. The most common correct response was the expansion of the acronym HTML.
- (b)(i) Many candidates correctly identified that background colour is an example of presentation.
- (ii) Many candidates were able to correctly convert the hexadecimal values to binary. Some candidates treated 43 as a denary value, rather than a binary value. This meant their conversion was incorrect. It would be beneficial for candidates to understand that a hexadecimal value can be just made up of numeric values, for example 43. This is the hex values of 4 and 3, rather than the denary value of the number 43.
- (c)(i) Many candidates were able to correctly identify a microphone is an input device.
- (ii) Many candidates were able to correctly identify that lossy compression would be most suitable. In the description, many candidates were able to describe that lossy compression removes redundant data such as sounds that cannot be heard by the human ear. It would be beneficial for candidates to have a greater understanding of how lossy compression reduces the size of the file. Some candidates described how it reduced the size of an image file, rather than a sound file. Candidates are reminded to look at the context of the question and apply this to their answer.
- (iii) Many candidates were able to provide two benefits for compressing the file. Some candidates gave a benefit that it reduces the size of the file. It would be beneficial for candidates to understand that this is what compression does and is not a benefit of it. It is the impact of this reduction that creates the benefits.
- (d)(i) Many candidates were able to correctly identify the two payers in the TLS protocol.
- (ii) Few candidates were able to describe the operation of the TLS protocol. The most common marks given was for data being encrypted. It would be beneficial for candidates to have a greater understanding of the TLS protocol.

- (e) (i) Many candidates were able to provide three security threats to the web server. Some candidates gave responses such as phishing and pharming. Candidates are reminded to apply the context of the question to their response. It would be beneficial for candidates to understand that phishing and pharming are not threats to a web server.
- (ii) Some candidates understood that a proxy server would look to operate in a similar way to a firewall to protect the website. Many candidates gave a general description about the operation of a proxy server, which was not applied to the context. Candidates are reminded to apply the context of the question to their response.

Question 2

- (a) Many candidates were able to correctly identify whether the binary values had been sent using even or odd parity.
- (b) Some candidates were able to provide a full description on the operation of a checksum. It would be beneficial for candidates to have a greater understanding of how the checksum is generated, to provide a suitable level of detail in their response.

Question 3

- (a) (i) Some candidates were able to provide three correct ways that data could be accidentally damaged. It would be beneficial for candidates to understand the difference between accidental damage of data and malicious damage of data. Many candidates incorrectly gave methods of how data is maliciously damaged, such as hacking and viruses.
- (ii) Most candidates correctly stated that a back-up could be created.
- (b) Few candidates were able to provide knowledge of both what an SSD is and how it operates to store data. Most candidates demonstrated knowledge of what an SSD is; few candidates were also able to demonstrate an understanding of how it operates to store data. It would be beneficial for candidates to have a greater understanding of how an SSD operates to store data.
- (c) Some candidates were able to provide a correct response for all statements. It would be beneficial for candidates to understand that all three storage examples store data in a spiral track and to understand that both DVD and Blu-ray can be dual layer.

Question 4

- (a) Many candidates were able to draw a correct logic circuit for the logic statements. The most common error came from candidates that switched the position of the NOR gate and the OR gate. It would be beneficial for candidates to understand that the Boolean operator in the centre of the statements will not always be the end logic gate in the logic circuit.
- (b) Many candidates were able to correctly complete the truth table.

Question 5

- (a) Many candidates were able to provide five correct responses to the statements. The most common error came from candidates that thought an LED display was a front-lit display.
- (b) Most candidates were able to correctly complete the paragraph. The most common errors were media access computer address and a network device has a similar MAC address.
- (c) Most candidates were able to correctly describe the role of a browser.

COMPUTER SCIENCE

Paper 0984/22
Paper 2

Key messages

Candidates who had previously worked through the pre-release material (Computer Shop) and who completed the tasks by producing their own programming code were able to demonstrate appropriate techniques for solving this problem. These candidates were able to reproduce segments of relevant code and provide appropriate answers to questions in **Section A** that demonstrated the programs they had written. In addition, they were able to describe how they had solved tasks, why they had used their chosen methods and how their program code was changed to implement additional functionality.

Candidates who took care to ensure they fully and specifically answered the question that was asked scored higher marks than those who gave generic responses. Examples included: candidates who described how their program achieved certain tasks, or who supplied detailed annotations to their program code, rather than simply stating what their program did or simply wrote program code with no explanation; candidates who took care to name or describe variables, constants and arrays appropriately to match their purpose, within the context or task required by the question.

Candidates are advised to answer algorithm questions in the manner stated in the question, so that pseudocode code questions are answered using pseudocode, program code questions are answered using program code and flowchart questions are answered using a flowchart.

Candidates are advised to ensure that any flowcharts they construct make use of standard programming flowchart symbols and conventions, and that they are fully connected.

General comments

Candidates in general demonstrated a good understanding of the requirements of the paper with very few questions left unanswered.

Candidates who continue their answers on additional sheets or on blank pages within the question paper are advised to indicate they have done this within the allotted space for the question they are continuing.

Comments on specific questions

Section A

Question 1

- (a) (i) Most candidates scored some marks on this question. They were generally able to identify an appropriate array that could have been used in **Task 1** with many candidates also going on to correctly write the purpose of their array. Common errors included array names containing spaces or inappropriate punctuation marks, arrays that would not be used in **Task 1**, or purposes that did not give sufficient detail.
- (ii) Most candidates scored some marks on this question. They were generally able to identify an appropriate variable that could have been used in **Task 2** with many candidates also going on to correctly write the purpose of their stated variable. Common errors included variable names containing spaces or inappropriate punctuation marks, variables that would not be used in **Task 2**, or purposes that did not give sufficient detail.

- (iii) Candidates scored fewer marks for this question. Those who correctly answered the question were able to identify a constant that could have been used in **Task 3**. Some of these candidates were also able to correctly give the purpose of their constant. Common errors included names of constants containing spaces or inappropriate punctuation marks, constants that would not be used in **Task 3**, constants that would not work as constants, as the values identified were actually variables, or purposes that did not give sufficient detail.
- (b) Most candidates were able to explain the benefits of storing Price as a real data price, generally by identifying that real numbers could contain decimal places and/or that real numbers could be used in calculations.
- (c) The vast majority of candidates achieved some marks for this question with a high proportion achieving full or nearly full marks. Many candidates demonstrated good programming skills and gave solutions to show how they completed **Task 1**. A range of methods for inputting the required data to choose computer components, using this data to find the prices of the individual components and calculating the total cost of the system, were seen. Some candidates also demonstrated appropriate methods to validate their inputs.
- (d) Most candidates gave good responses to explain how their program would complete **Task 3**. A wide range of answers were seen with most candidates explaining how their program checked the number of additional computer components that were purchased and which discount, if appropriate, would be applied to the final cost. Some candidates also discussed how the number of additional computer components was stored and/or how this value was incremented. Other candidates explained how the final price of the computer and money saved were calculated and/or output. Common errors included stating what the program did rather than how the task was done, or simply writing program code with no explanation.
- (e) Most candidates were able to describe how they could alter their program to enable more than one computer to be purchased, by offering a prompt and input for the user to specify how many computers they wanted. Some candidates also described the use of a loop structure to repeat the whole program as many times as necessary to match the number of computers to be purchased.

Section B

Question 2

Most candidates provided a partially correct answer identifying true or false statements about subroutines. Some candidates identified all four correctly.

Question 3

Many candidates achieved high marks identifying different types of test data, giving examples of suitable test data and the reasons these data were chosen. Common errors included candidates giving answers that were too general in the reason for choice of test data; for example, 'checking if the number is in the correct range or not', or candidates naming types of validation checks, or different programming command words as types of test data. A small number of candidates gave an example of test data as the test data type.

Question 4

The vast majority of candidates provided good responses on this question, in which they were asked to add additional functionality to a given algorithm, using pseudocode. Candidates were expected to use the original given algorithm and variables in their answer. Common errors included the use of a different variable to the one specified to count how many numbers above 500 were input; not including the array index in the conditional statement to check if the number is greater than 500 and placing the output statement inside the loop rather than outside.

Question 5

- (a) Candidates generally recognised what the algorithm was doing and were able to complete the trace table to achieve some of the marks. Candidates recognised that numbers were being moved in the array based on their relative value, and therefore correctly completed the parts of the trace table related to ordering the numbers. Candidates who followed the algorithm to its completion and

correctly completed the `Flag` and `Count` columns in the trace table were less common. A common error was to not initialise the `Flag` column and/or the `Count` column to zero.

- (b) Many candidates identified that the algorithm was re-ordering the numbers with a significant proportion of them also going on to state that the numbers were being placed in descending order. Common errors included descriptions of what this algorithm was doing line by line, or general definitions of the purpose of algorithms.

Question 6

This question was answered very well with the vast majority of candidates able to draw flowchart symbols to represent Input/Output and Decision.

Question 7

- (a) Most candidates were able to state the correct number of records that were given in the table AUDIOPARTS. A small number of candidates incorrectly identified the number of fields in the table, and others incorrectly included the title row in their count of records.
- (b) The vast majority of candidates correctly identified the most suitable field in the given table to be the primary key and gave an appropriate reason for this to be the case.
- (c) The vast majority of candidates answered this question well with very few errors. Some errors that were seen included: candidates who had not added all four columns to their grid, as required; candidates who added the sort criteria to the wrong field, or who gave additional punctuation such as quotes or = to the word **descending**; candidates who did not tick all of the fields in the show row; candidates who gave incorrect search criteria, including >10 rather than < 10, or = < 10, or no search criteria.

