



# Cambridge International A Level

---

LITERATURE IN ENGLISH

9695/72

Paper 7 Comment and Appreciation

May/June 2020

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 50

---

**Published**

Students did not sit exam papers in the June 2020 series due to the Covid-19 global pandemic.

This mark scheme is published to support teachers and students and should be read together with the question paper. It shows the requirements of the exam. The answer column of the mark scheme shows the proposed basis on which Examiners would award marks for this exam. Where appropriate, this column also provides the most likely acceptable alternative responses expected from students. Examiners usually review the mark scheme after they have seen student responses and update the mark scheme if appropriate. In the June series, Examiners were unable to consider the acceptability of alternative responses, as there were no student responses to consider.

Mark schemes should usually be read together with the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers. However, because students did not sit exam papers, there is no Principal Examiner Report for Teachers for the June 2020 series.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the June 2020 series for most Cambridge IGCSE™ and Cambridge International A & AS Level components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

---

This document consists of **7** printed pages.

**Generic Marking Principles**

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:**

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:**

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:**

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:**

Rules must be applied consistently e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:**

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:**

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

## Introduction

The syllabus for this Paper makes clear what candidates will be expected to do, and what examiners will therefore be looking for: "*The questions will test candidates' ability to read literature critically and demonstrate, by informed discussion and opinion, an understanding of the ways in which meaning is expressed through a writer's choice of form, structure and language.*"

The most important word above is perhaps "*informed*"; there is no expectation that candidates must bring to the paper any historical background or knowledge, though this may of course occasionally be helpful - what matters is that they demonstrate an understanding of *how* to approach a piece of previously unseen writing, and of how to respond to it in a piece of formal written criticism. Examiners will expect candidates to be informed about literary styles, conventions and techniques, and of the most common literary and critical terms; such knowledge will not be rewarded for its own sake - no credit will be given for "alliteration-spotting", for example – but where a candidate can demonstrate an awareness of how a text is written, can discuss this by means of the accepted terminology, and at the same time show that s/he has also a properly formulated and justified personal response then credit and reward will certainly be given. There can generally be no "right" or "wrong" answers; what matters is that a candidate supports, justifies and argues a response in such a way that the examiner knows that s/he can see how the meaning is being expressed, and can at the same time express such a knowledge and understanding. It may well be that a candidate will express a view which is different from the examiner's, or indeed different from what is generally assumed to have been the writer's; unless such a view is demonstrably and unarguably *wrong* it will always be accepted and rewarded according to its own merits.

## Assessing the Work

In assessing the quality of individual answers, you should keep the following questions firmly in your mind:

- How well does the candidate meet the specific demands of the question?
- How well does the candidate understand the passage/poem, and how far have any difficulties been confronted rather than avoided?
- How sensitive is the candidate to the language, the tone, and the distinctive literary qualities of the writing?
- How aware is the candidate of the narrative perspective or the writer's point of view in the passage/poem?
- How clearly is a genuinely informed personal response to the passage/poem communicated through the candidate's writing?
- How far does the candidate's commentary illuminate the creative processes of the passage/poem?

**Drama:** candidates should always be given credit for exploring the specifically dramatic and/or theatrical qualities of a passage or scene (though specialist Theatre Studies skills or knowledge are not expected, and may indeed be unhelpful).

**Poetry:** although little credit should be given for simple or mechanical discussion of poetic form, candidates should always be rewarded for showing an awareness of the aptness and effectiveness of poetic forms and techniques in a given poem.

**Prose:** where appropriate, candidates should be given credit for showing an awareness of the character of the passage in relation to its genre (fiction, biography, essay, reportage etc.)

**Using the mark levels**

Place the answer in a level first. Look for the ‘best fit’ of the answer into a level. An answer needs to show evidence of most but not necessarily ALL of the qualities described in a level, in order to be placed in that level. Then award a mark for the relative position of the answer within the level.

Candidates may address the question in many different ways. Do not expect any particular focus or approach and do not penalise answers for leaving out a particular focus.

Reward what is there, showing what you are rewarding, in your comments.

Consider all strands and weigh up the performance as a whole in placing the answer in a level, then show that you have done so in the summative comment: e.g. *Solid K of texts, limited evidence of U and mostly narrative, evidence of P with some, good personal response and generally clear C throughout.*

**Assessment Objectives:**

AO1	The ability to respond to texts in the three main forms (Prose, Poetry and Drama) of different types and from different cultures.
AO2	An understanding of the ways in which writers’ choices of form, structure and language shape meanings.
AO3	The ability to produce informed, independent opinions and judgements on literary texts.
AO4	The ability to communicate clearly the knowledge, understanding and insight appropriate for literary study.
AO5	The ability to appreciate and discuss varying opinions of literary works (Cambridge International A Level only).

Each answer is marked out of 25, in accordance with the General Marking Criteria below.

Each level is divided into strands: Knowledge (K), Understanding (U), Personal Response (P), Communication (C) and Opinion (O).

**Level 6 22–25 Very good work** (Note: do not reserve this level for the very best work you see, but ensure that you put answers in this level which fulfil the requirements described below; there will always be some answers of a standard higher than the top of the level.)

- K** Evidence of very good ability to select relevant knowledge to address the question with effective use of references and quotation.
- U** Evidence of very good understanding of ways in which the writer's choices of structure, form and language shape meanings with sustained analysis and sensitive appreciation of literary methods and effects, including literary genres and conventions.
- P** Personal response to the text will be perceptive, often freshly personal, fully supported with quotation, and may show some originality in approach to, and treatment of, the questions.
- C** Candidates will express complex literary ideas and arguments with clarity and fluency. Answers will have a coherent structure, with logical progression and effectively linked paragraphs. *Expression* will be accomplished and appropriate.
- O** Considers varying views, arguing a persuasive case, relevant to the question, with support from the text.

There will be a very good appreciation of the effects of the literary features of the text, with detailed analysis supported by relevant examples from the text.

**Level 5 18–21 Proficient work**

- K** Evidence of proficiency in selecting relevant knowledge to address the question, with precise and integrated references to the text and supporting quotation.
- U** Evidence of intelligent understanding of ways in which the writer's choices of structure, form and language shape meanings, with analysis and appreciation of literary methods and effects, and perhaps some appreciation of literary genres and conventions.
- P** Evidence of thoughtful personal response to the text, relevant to the question, supported from the text; some originality of thought, straightforwardly and vigorously articulated, perhaps, rather than penetrating or subtle.
- C** *Expression* will be confident, with some complex ideas and responses expressed with some fluency. *Structure* will be sound. Literary arguments will be coherent, with progression of ideas through clearly linked paragraphs.
- O** Considers varying views and argues a case with support from the text.

There will be a proficient appreciation of the effects of the literary features of the text supported by relevant examples from the text.

**Level 4 14–17 Competent work**

- K** Evidence of competence in selecting relevant knowledge of the text to address the question, with some pertinent use of quotation.
- U** Evidence of sound understanding of some aspects of ways in which the writer's choices of structure, form and language shape meanings, with some analysis and appreciation of literary methods and effects, and possibly some awareness of literary genres and conventions.
- P** Evidence of some developing personal response and interpretation, relevant to the question, and supported from the text.
- C** *Expression* will be clear and generally accurate. *Structure* will be sound – coherently organised material with occasional insights. Answers will express intelligent, straightforward ideas clearly, though there may be occasional loss of fluency with points not always strongly connected.
- O** Considers other opinions, weighs up different views with support from the text. There will be competent appreciation of the effects of the literary features of the text and the analysis will be supported by relevant examples from the text.

**Level 3 10–13 Solid work**

- K** Evidence of some ability to use knowledge of the text, together with some evidence of ability to use it appropriately in addressing the question.
- U** Evidence of clear understanding of some ways in which the writer's choices of structure, form and language shape meanings and effects, which may be partial and restricted to the more obvious aspects of the text. There may be some awareness of genre characteristics.
- P** Evidence of personal response to the text, with the beginnings of a personal view or interpretation, relevant to the question and supported from the text.
- C** *Expression* will be mostly clear and appropriate, with a clear simple *structure* to the answer. Argument will be mostly coherent, and assertive in tone. There is likely to be some reliance on paraphrase and narrative summary. Articulates simple ideas with clarity, but there may be some imprecision and clumsiness of expression in dealing with more complex concepts. There may be some occasional obscurity in the presentation of ideas and responses.
- O** Considers other opinions, perhaps those expressed in the question, weighs up different views of a text.

There will be some consideration of the literary features of the text with analysis of the features mentioned likely to be partial or restricted.

**Level 2 6–9 Work of a basic standard**

- K** Evidence of some limited ability to use knowledge of the text to address the question, with occasional use of supporting references or quotations. There may be some basic errors of interpretation and reading.
- U** Evidence of some understanding of ways in which the writer's choices of structure, form and language shape meanings and effects.
- P** Evidence of some personal response, but not fully supported from the text.
- C** *Expression* will be basically clear. There may be the occasional confused passage of writing. However, there will be no sustained loss of communication. There may be a simple *structure* to the answer with some evidence of an argument, which may lack coherence, with some repetition, assertion and relapse into narrative summary/paraphrase. There may be a tendency to drift from relevant discussion into material of tangential significance.
- O** Mentions other opinions, perhaps those expressed in the question, and makes some attempt to consider different views of a text.

There will be some limited consideration of the literary features of the text.

**Level 1 0–5**

- K** Evidence of some general knowledge of the text, which may be narrative-based and may contain errors, rarely relevant to the question and with little or no relevant quotation or selection from the text.
- U** There may be little or no understanding of form, structure and language; perhaps only a series of points made in response to the question. These will be limited and tend to be restricted to 'plot' and character – the latter treated very much as 'real' people.
- P** There may be some signs of personal response, not developed into an argument and not fully supported from the text.
- C** Communication will be insecure. *Expression* may be weak with some breakdown in communication. *Structure* may be lacking: answers are likely to be partial, undeveloped, narrative commentary in approach, with the assertion of simple points rather than a progressive line of argument.
- O** Others' opinions may be referred to in passing.  
There will be little or no mention or consideration of the literary features of the text.